

DOMINANCE RELATIONSHIPS FOR GENES CONFERRING RESISTANCE TO BROOMRAPE (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) IN SUNFLOWER

B. Pérez-Vich^{1*}, B. Aktouch¹, A. Mateos², L. Velasco¹, C.C. Jan³,
J. Fernández², J. Domínguez⁴, and J.M. Fernández-Martínez¹

¹ Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible (CSIC), Apartado 4084, 14080 Córdoba, Spain

² ADVANTA Ibérica, Ctra. Puebla de Cazalla, Km1, 41620 Marchena,
Sevilla, Spain

³ Northern Crop Science Laboratory, USDA-ARS, Box 5677, Fargo, ND 58105, USA

⁴ CIFA (Junta de Andalucía), Alameda del Obispo, Apartado 3092, E-14080,
Córdoba, Spain

Received: October 08, 2003

Accepted: January 05, 2004

SUMMARY

The holoparasitic angiosperm sunflower broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) is currently regarded as one of the most important constraints of sunflower production in many areas in Southern Europe and the Black Sea region. Breeding for resistance is considered to be the most effective and feasible method of controlling sunflower broomrape. Commercial hybrids cultivated in Spain carry the *Or1* to *Or5* genes that confer resistance to races A to E. However, a new race, designated F, has been identified. Germplasm with race F resistant genes derived from wild and cultivated sunflowers has been developed. Dominance reaction of these genes is an essential feature that determine the breeding method to produce race F resistant sunflower hybrids. Crosses between different sources of race F resistant lines, including those derived from wild and cultivated sunflower, and different susceptible parental lines were made. Allelic crosses between race F resistant lines, as well as crosses between race F resistant lines and race E resistant lines were also carried out. F₁ plants, F₂ plants, and their parental lines were evaluated for their disease reaction to race F or race E of broomrape. Different dominance reactions and inheritance mechanisms for broomrape resistance were observed. These depended on the race of broomrape, the source of race F resistance, and also the susceptible parental line used for the cross. The relevance of the results obtained for sunflower breeding for resistance to sunflower broomrape is discussed.

Key words: sunflower, broomrape resistance, susceptible lines, resistance lines, genetic control of broomrape resistance

* Corresponding author, Phone: +34 957 499210, Fax: +34 957 499252,
e-mail: bperez@cica.es

INTRODUCTION

Sunflower broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) is a parasitic angiosperm, totally devoid of chlorophyll, that infects the roots of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) plants. This parasitic plant is regarded as one of the most important constraints on sunflower production in areas of eastern and southern Europe, the Middle East, Russia, Ukraine and China (Parker, 1994). Broomrape attacks are frequently severe and yield losses reach up to 50% (Domínguez, 1996a). Though several methods of control have been proposed, the most economical and effective means of controlling sunflower broomrape is the use of resistant cultivars.

Genetic resistance to broomrape has been introduced into sunflower cultivars from early sunflower breeding programs in the former USSR (Pustovoit, 1966). However, the widespread use of resistant cultivars has led to the appearance of new races of the parasite that overcome the resistance genes (Škorić, 1988), and a continuous need for new resistance sources. Races A to E of broomrape have been described (Alonso, 1998) and these can be identified using a set of sunflower differentials, each carrying a single dominant gene (*Or1* through *Or5*, respectively) (Vrânceanu et al., 1980). Although this monogenic and dominant inheritance of resistance to races A to E was found in most genetic studies (Pogorletsky and Geshele, 1976; Vrânceanu et al., 1980; Ish-Shalom-Gordon, 1993; Sukno et al., 1999), some reports pointed to a more complex inheritance of the trait, including two dominant genes (Domínguez, 1996b), one recessive gene (Ramaiah, 1987), or even double recessive epistasis (Kirichenko et al., 1987).

In Spain, broomrape has been traditionally restricted to limited areas planted to the confectionary sunflower. From the early 1970's onwards, the parasite quickly spread to central and southern Spain, causing serious infections in oilseed cultivars. Racial studies in this country identified races overcoming *Or1*, *Or3*, and *Or4*, but not *Or2* and *Or5* (reviewed in Melero-Vara et al., 2000); subsequently, however, a rapid racial evolution took place, mainly in the south of Spain. Further racial studies have shown the presence of a new race, named race F, which overcomes all of the known resistance genes, including *Or2* and *Or5* (Alonso et al., 1996; Domínguez 1999). Resistance to this new race of broomrape has been found in both cultivated and wild sunflowers (Sukno et al., 1999; Fernández-Martínez et al. 2000; Jan et al. 2002; Fernández-Martínez et al., 2003). For breeders to efficiently use the available sources of broomrape resistance to race F, it is necessary to determine the genetic control of resistance to this race and the relationship between this control and that for race E resistance. In this paper we describe the genetic studies carried out on different race F resistant lines to determine the dominance relationships for genes conferring resistance to race F of broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) and their relationship to those controlling race E resistance.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant materials

The following plant materials used were:

1. **Lines resistant to race F of broomrape developed from cultivated germplasm:** P-96, R-96, and L-86 (Fernández-Martínez *et al.*, 2003), and ADV37017, which is a race F resistant line from the seed company ADVANTA.
2. **Lines resistant to race F of broomrape developed from wild germplasm:** J1 (Jan *et al.*, 2002; Pérez-Vich *et al.*, 2002).
3. **Susceptible lines:** P21, a genetic male sterile (GMS) line of sunflower highly susceptible to broomrape races E and F, R-5, which carries the resistance gene *Or5* (Sukno *et al.*, 1999), and a set of 27 susceptible CMS lines from the seed company ADVANTA.

Genetic studies

Crosses between the different lines used for the genetic studies were carried out. P-21 was used as female for crosses with P-96, R-96, and L-86. A set of 27 CMS susceptible lines from ADVANTA was also used as female for crosses with ADV37017. The F_1 together with both parents were planted and evaluated for broomrape resistance, and F_2 seeds were produced by self-pollinating the F_1 plants, as described by Akhtouch *et al.* (2002), and Pérez-Vich *et al.* (2002). F_2 plants were also evaluated for broomrape resistance and self-pollinated to produce F_3 seeds. The F_3 generation was evaluated when necessary. The same procedure was used for the allelic crosses and for crosses between P-96 and R-5, with the exception that crosses were achieved through the emasculation of florets of the female parent followed by pollination of their stigmas with pollen from the male parent.

Broomrape populations

Race-E broomrape population used was SE-194, collected in southern Spain in 1994 (Sukno *et al.*, 1999), which was classified as race E by artificial inoculation on sunflower differentials carrying the resistance genes *Or1*, *Or4* and *Or5*. Race-F broomrape population was SE-296, collected in southern Spain in 1999 from broomrapes attacking cultivars which incorporated genes of resistance to race E. SE-296 was confirmed as resistant to race F by artificial inoculation on sunflower differentials carrying the resistance genes *Or1* and *Or5* (Akhtouch *et al.*, 2002).

Phenotypic evaluation for broomrape resistance

In all experiments, artificial inoculation was carried out by planting 2-day-old sunflower seedlings in small pots (7×7×8 cm) containing a mixture of sand and peat (1:1, v:v). Each pot (approximately 180 g of the mixture) was carefully mixed

with 50 mg of broomrape seeds to obtain a homogeneously infested substrate. The plants were kept in a growth chamber for 15-20 days for incubation at 25°C/18°C (day/night) using a 14 h photoperiod. For growth chamber experiments, the plants were kept in the chamber until evaluation (40-45 days). For experiments in the greenhouse and mesh-cage, the plants were then transplanted to larger pots containing 3 l of fertilized and uninfected sand-silt-peat (2:1:1, v:v:v) soil mixture. For field experiments, the plants were transplanted into the field. Evaluation for broomrape resistance was made on mature plants, with the exception of the growth chamber experiments. Plants were uprooted and their root system carefully washed to observe any established broomrape nodules or stalks. These were indicative of susceptibility to broomrape, whereas those lacking these structures were considered resistant.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Genetic studies and dominance relationships for broomrape resistance to race F

Resistant lines derived from cultivated germplasm

Selection and selfing within cultivated germplasm have produced sunflower lines that bred true for resistance to race F of broomrape. Some of these lines, P-96, R-96 and L-86, have been released (Fernández-Martínez et al., 2003). F₁ evaluation from crosses between these lines and the susceptible line P21 indicated that resistance to race F of broomrape was recessive, since all F₁ plants evaluated from these crosses were susceptible to this race of broomrape (Table 1) (Akhtouch et al., 2002). The F₂ generations from the crosses between the three resistant lines and P21 segregated mainly in a 1:15 (resistant : susceptible) ratio (Akhtouch et al., 2002), which indicated that resistance to race F of broomrape is conditioned by two recessive genes. This hypothesis was confirmed by the 1:3 (resistant : susceptible) segregation in the backcrosses to the resistant parents (Akhtouch et al., 2002), and is in accordance with other studies carried out in other lines (Rodríguez-Ojeda et al., 2001). However, one third of the F₂ populations evaluated (four out of twelve) segregated for broomrape resistance following a 3: 13 ratio (resistant : susceptible), suggesting that dominant and recessive epistasis may also be involved in the genetic control of resistance to race F of broomrape.

All previous genetic studies were carried out using the same susceptible line (P21) for crossing. To determine if susceptible parental lines could carry some genes affecting resistance to race F of broomrape, as it occurs for other characters, crosses were made between the race F resistant line (ADV37017) and a set of 27 female susceptible lines. The F₁ from the 27 crosses was evaluated for resistance to race F under a controlled environment (growth chamber) (Table 1). The majority of the F₁ evaluated were susceptible (9 out of 27) or segregated with a greater proportion of susceptible plants (11 out of 27) (Table 1). The rest of the F₁ were completely resistant (3 out of 27) or segregated with a greater proportion of resistant plants (4 out of 27). Despite the F₁ reaction was in general susceptible, indicating a

recessive nature of the resistance in the line ADV37017, the existence of segregation in some of the F_1 and completely resistant F_1 indicated that the susceptible line used for the crosses may also carry some genes contributing to broomrape resistance to race F, which in some of the susceptible lines may still be in the heterozygous state.

Table 1: Dominance relationships for the genes controlling broomrape resistance in sunflower through the evaluation of F_1 plants from crosses between resistant lines and a set of selected lines (lines for crossing).

Resistant parental line	Line for crossing	Broomrape race	No of F_1 plants	
			Resistant	Susceptible
P-96	P21	F	0	15
R-96	P21	F	0	20
L-86	P21	F	0	15
ADV37017	ADVCMS1	F	2	18
ADV37017	ADVCMS2	F	17	3
ADV37017	ADVCMS3	F	1	19
ADV37017	ADVCMS4	F	8	12
ADV37017	ADVCMS5	F	0	20
ADV37017	ADVCMS6	F	0	18
ADV37017	ADVCMS7	F	1	18
ADV37017	ADVCMS8	F	19	0
ADV37017	ADVCMS9	F	19	0
ADV37017	ADVCMS10	F	15	4
ADV37017	ADVCMS11	F	14	6
ADV37017	ADVCMS12	F	0	19
ADV37017	ADVCMS13	F	1	19
ADV37017	ADVCMS14	F	7	13
ADV37017	ADVCMS15	F	7	13
ADV37017	ADVCMS16	F	0	20
ADV37017	ADVCMS17	F	7	11
ADV37017	ADVCMS18	F	4	15
ADV37017	ADVCMS19	F	6	12
ADV37017	ADVCMS20	F	0	19
ADV37017	ADVCMS21	F	20	0
ADV37017	ADVCMS22	F	11	9
ADV37017	ADVCMS23	F	0	17
ADV37017	ADVCMS24	F	9	11
ADV37017	LC1093B	F	0	20
ADV37017	ADV37015	F	0	20
ADV37017	ADV37014	F	0	20
P-96	R-96	F	15	0
P-96	L-86	F	9	0
J1 (Spring-2001)	P21	F	15	0
J1 (Summer-2001)	P21	F	10	0
P-96	P21	E	10	0
P-96	R-5	F	0	5
P-96	R-5	E	5	0

The fact that susceptible lines may carry genes that affect broomrape resistance was supported by differences in the degree of attack among susceptible lines observed in field evaluations for resistance to broomrape race F. The inbred line HA-89 showed an average number of broomrapes per plant of 4.5 in 17 susceptible plants which were evaluated in a field trial in the spring 2002. In the same field trial, the average number of broomrapes per plant was 20.0 for 29 susceptible plants from the inbred line HA-821, 25.5 for 14 plants from the R-5 line, and 29.3 for 20 plants from P21. Divergent selection for the number of broomrapes is currently being carried out in order to confirm these data.

Allelic crosses have been made between different sources of resistance derived from cultivated germplasm. Evaluation for race F resistance to broomrape in F_1 plants from crosses between the resistant lines P-96, R-96, and L-86 showed an F_1 resistant reaction (Table 1). A total of 600 F_2 plants from the P-96 $\times$ L-86 cross were evaluated in a 2003 field trial, and all them gave also a resistant reaction to race F. These data indicated that the genetic control of broomrape resistance to race F in the P-96 and L-86 lines is similar. Whether these lines have identical alleles at the loci controlling resistance to race F or have additional recessive resistance alleles at these loci or at adjacent, very tightly linked loci should be determined in further studies, such as those carried out by Sukno et al. (1999), to distinguish different alleles conferring resistance to race E in different race E resistant lines.

Resistant lines derived from wild germplasm

Results of evaluation of sunflower germplasm for resistance to broomrape race F have shown that wild *Helianthus* species constitute a major source of resistance genes (Fernández-Martínez et al., 2000; Jan et al., 2000). Four germplasm populations, BR1 to BR4, resistant to race F have been developed through interspecific hybridization of cultivated susceptible material with resistant perennial wild *Helianthus* species *H. divaricatus*, *H. maximiliani*, and *H. grossesserratus* (Jan et al., 2002). Genetic studies have been carried out in a resistant line derived from the population BR4 (J1) which was developed from a mixed-amphiploid resulting from the intercross between chromosomally doubled heads of *H. divaricatus* $\times$ P21, and *H. grossesserratus* $\times$ P21. For the genetic study, J1 was crossed with the susceptible line P21 (Pérez-Vich et al., 2002). The F_1 plants from the P21 $\times$ J1 cross, evaluated in two different environments (spring 2001, and summer 2001), were always resistant (Table 1) (Pérez-Vich et al., 2002). These results indicated a dominant gene action for resistance to race F of broomrape in J1. In addition, the segregation pattern of resistance to this race of broomrape observed in the F_2 generation from the P21 $\times$ J1 cross which fit a 3:1 (resistant: susceptible) ratio (Pérez-Vich et al., 2002) indicated that resistance to race F broomrape in J1 is controlled by a single dominant gene. This was confirmed by the evaluation of the backcrosses to both parents (Pérez-Vich et al., 2002). In contrast to these results, recent field evaluations for race F resistance in the F_1 generation from crosses between resistant

sources derived from the wild species *H. divaricatus* and *H. maximiliani* and the susceptible line P21 indicated that resistance to race F in these sources was recessive, since the evaluated F_1 plants exhibits a susceptible reaction.

Comparative studies for resistance to broomrape races F and E

Broomrape resistance to race E in the line P-96 was studied in the cross $P21 \times P-96$. F_1 plants from this cross were resistant to race E of broomrape (Table 1), indicating that resistance to this race in P-96 is dominant. In addition, segregation for resistance to race E in the F_3 generation from the cross $P21 \times P-96$ followed a 1:2:1 [resistant F_3 families (R) : segregating F_3 families (H) : susceptible F_3 families (S)] ratio (18R:32H:10S; $\chi^2=2.4$; $P=0.30$), which indicated that race E resistance was controlled by a single gene. Therefore, clear differences have been detected in the dominance relationships and the inheritance mechanisms of the genes controlling race E and race F resistance in the line P-96. While resistance to race E is dominant and controlled by a single gene, resistance to race F in the same line is recessive and determined by two genes. These results are in contrast to those of Rodríguez-Ojeda et al. (2001). These authors evaluated resistance to race E and to race F in the resistant line KI-374 from Koipese Semillas in crosses between this line and HA300 (very susceptible to all races of broomrape) and found that resistance to both races was recessive and controlled by two genes.

In addition, crosses were also made between P-96 and the race E resistant line R-5, which carries the *Or5* gene conferring resistance to race E but not to race F, and evaluated for both race E and race F resistance. The F_1 from the $P-96 \times R-5$ cross was susceptible to race F of broomrape (Table 1), while the F_2 generation from the same cross evaluated for the same race segregated following a 1:15 ratio (6 resistant F_2 plants: 83 susceptible F_2 plants; $\chi^2=0.04$; $P=0.85$). The $P-96 \times R-5$ cross evaluated for race E showed no segregation. All F_1 plants (Table 1) and all F_2 plants (180 F_2 plants) from this cross were resistant to broomrape race E. These results suggest that genetic control of resistance to race E broomrape in the line P-96 is dominant, monogenic and determined by the *Or5* gene, and that the R-5 line does not carry any allele conferring resistance to race F. If *Or5* in the line P-96 is allelic to one of the genes conferring resistance to race F should be determined in further experiments.

These results are in contrast to the genetic studies carried out by Vrânceanu et al. (1980). These authors established a set of five sunflower differentials carrying five dominant resistance genes, *Or1* to *Or5*, each one giving resistance to a new race of broomrape but also to the previous race. We have shown that resistance to race F and the previous race (race E) does not follow this model in the line P-96. This line shows a dominant resistance to race E, conferred by the *Or5* gene, but resistance to race F is neither dominant nor monogenic. These results suggest that race E and race F resistance in the line P-96 might be controlled by different mechanisms.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, dominance relationships and genetic control of broomrape resistance in sunflower is highly dependent on the race of broomrape, source of resistance and also the susceptible parental line used for the cross. Therefore, breeding for broomrape resistance and hybrid production should take into consideration all these factors. Molecular marker studies that are currently being carried out for race F resistance and those already done for race E resistance (Lu et al., 1999; Lu et al., 2000; Tang et al., 2003) should clarify the mechanism of genetic control of broomrape resistance in sunflower and should constitute a powerful tool for the breeding of this trait. Research is also needed on testing methodology such as incubation procedures (amount of inoculum, temperature, moisture, etc.), correlation between artificial tests and evaluations under field conditions and determination of the resistance parameters (resistance or susceptibility versus number of broomrapes per plant).

REFERENCES

- Akhtouch, B., Muñoz-Ruz, J., Melero-Vara, J., Fernández-Martínez, J. and Domínguez, J., 2002. Inheritance of resistance to race F of broomrape in sunflower lines of different origins. *Plant Breed.*, 121:266-268.
- Alonso, L.C., 1998. Resistance to *Orobanche* and resistance breeding: A review. In: Wegmann K, Musselman LJ, Joel DM (eds) Current problems of *Orobanche* researches. Proc 4th Int Workshop on *Orobanche*, Albena, 23-26 September, Bulgaria, pp 233-257
- Alonso, L.C., Fernández-Escobar, J., López, G., Rodríguez-Ojeda, M. and Sallago, F., 1996. New highly virulent sunflower broomrape (*Orobanche cernua* Loefl.) pathotype in Spain. In: M. Moreno et al. (eds), Advances in Parasitic Weed Research. Proc. of the 6th Int. Symposium in Parasitic Weed., 639-644, Córdoba, Spain.
- Domínguez, J., 1996a. Estimating effects on yield and other agronomic parameters in sunflower hybrids infested with the new races of sunflower broomrape. Proc. Symposium I: Disease Tolerance in Sunflower, 118-123, International Sunflower Association, Beijing.
- Domínguez, J., 1996b. R-41, a sunflower restorer inbred line, carrying two genes for resistance against a highly virulent Spanish population of *Orobanche cernua*. *Plant Breed.*, 115: 203-204.
- Domínguez, J., 1999. Inheritance of the resistance to *Orobanche cumana* Wallr. In: Cubero J.I. et al. (eds), Resistance to Broomrape: The State of the Art. Congresos y jornadas 51/99. Junta de Andalucía. Consejería de Agricultura y Pesca, 139-141.
- Fernández-Martínez, J.M., Melero-Vara, J.M., Muñoz-Ruz, J., Ruso, J. and Domínguez, J., 2000. Selection of wild and cultivated sunflower for resistance to a new broomrape race that overcomes resistance of the *Or₅* gene. *Crop Sci.*, 40:550-555.
- Fernández-Martínez, J.M., Pérez-Vich, B., Akhtouch, B., Velasco, L., Muñoz-Ruz, J., Melero-Vara, J.M. and Domínguez, J., 2004. Registration of four sunflower germplasms resistant to race F of broomrape. *Crop Sci.* (in press).
- Ish-Shalom-Gordon, N., Jacobsohn, R. and Cohen, Y., 1993. Inheritance of resistance to *Orobanche cumana* in sunflower. *Phytopathology*, 83:1250-1252.
- Jan, C.C., Ruso, J., Muñoz-Ruz, J. and Fernández-Martínez, J.M., 2000. Resistance of sunflower (*Helianthus*) perennial species, interspecific amphiploids, and backcross progeny to broomrape (*O. cumana* Wallr.) races. Proc. 15th International Sunflower Conference, 12-15 June 2000, Toulouse, France, pp. J-14-J-19.
- Jan, C.C., Fernández-Martínez, J.M., Ruso, J. and Muñoz-Ruz, J., 2002. Registration of four sunflower germplasms with resistance to *Orobanche cumana* race F. *Crop Sci.*, 42:2217-2218.

- Kirichenko, V.V., Dolgova, E.M. and Aladina, Z.K., 1987. Virulence of broomrape isolates and the inheritance of resistance. *Plant Breed. Abstr.*, 57:1392.
- Lu, YH, Gagne, G, Grezes-Besset, B. and Blanchard, P., 1999. Integration of a molecular linkage group containing the broomrape resistance gene *Or5* into an RFLP map in sunflower. *Genome*, 42:453-456
- Lu, Y.H., Melero-Vara, J.M., García-Tejada, J.A. and Blanchard, P. 2000. Development of SCAR markers linked to the gene *Or5* conferring resistance to broomrape (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) in sunflower. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 100:625-632
- Melero-Vara, J.M., Domínguez, J. and Fernández-Martínez, J.M., 2000. Update on sunflower broomrape situation in Spain: Racial status and sunflower breeding for resistance. *Helia*, 23:45-56.
- Parker, C., 1994. The present state of *Orobanche* problem. In: A.H. Pieterse, J.A.C. Verkleijand, and S.J. Ter Borg (eds), *Biology and Management of Orobanche*. Proc. 3rd. Int. Workshop on *Orobanche* and related *Striga* research, Royal Tropical Institute, Amsterdam, pp. 17-26.
- Pérez-Vich, B., Akhtouch, B., Muñoz-Ruz, J., Fernández-Martínez, J.M. and Jan, C.C., 2002. Inheritance of resistance to the highly virulent race "F" of *Orobanche cumana* Wallr. in a sunflower line derived from interspecific amphiploids. *Helia*, 25:137-144.
- Pogorletsky, P.K. and Geshele, E.E., 1976. Sunflower immunity to broomrape and rust. Proc. 7th Int. Sunflower Conf., 238-243, 27 June-3 July 1976, Krasnodar, Russia.
- Pustovoi, V.S., 1966. Selection, seed culture and some agrotechnical problems of sunflower. Translated from Russian in 1976 by Indian National Scientific Documentation Centre, Delhi, India.
- Ramaiah, K.V., 1987. Control of *Striga* and *Orobanche* species. A review. In: Weber HC, Forestreuter W (eds), *Parasitic Flowering Plants*, Philip Univ., Marburg-Lahm, pp 637-664.
- Rodríguez-Ojeda, M.I., Fernández-Escobar, J. and Alonso, L.C., 2001. Sunflower inbred line (KI-374) carrying two recessive genes for resistance against a highly virulent Spanish population of *Orobanche cernua* Loelf. / *O. cumana* Wallr. race "F". Proc. 7th Int. Parasitic Weed Symposium, 208-211, 5-8 June 2001, Nantes, France.
- Škorić, D., 1988. Sunflower breeding. *Uljarstvo*, 25:1-90.
- Sukno, S., Melero-Vara, J.M. and Fernández-Martínez, J.M., 1999. Inheritance of resistance to *Orobanche cernua* Loelf. in six sunflower lines. *Crop Sci.*, 39:674-678.
- Tang S, Heesacher, A, Kishore, VK, Fernández, A, Sadik, ES, Cole, G, and Knapp, S.J., 2003. Genetic mapping of the *Or5* gene for resistance to *Orobanche* race E in sunflower. *Crop Sci.*, 43:1021-1028.
- Vrânceanu, A.V., Tudor, V.A., Stoenescu, F.M. and Pirvu, N., 1980. Virulence groups of *Orobanche cumana* Wallr., differential hosts and resistance source genes in sunflower. Proc. of the 9th Int. Sunflower Conf., 74-82, 89 June 1980, Torremolinos, Spain.

RELACIONES DE DOMINACIÓN EN LOS GENES DE RESISTENCIA A JOPO DE GIRASOL (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) EN GIRASOL

RESUMEN

El jopo de girasol (*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.) es una planta angiosperma haloparásita considerada actualmente como uno de los mas importantes factores limitantes de la producción de girasol en muchas áreas del Sur de Europa y en la región del Mar Negro. La mejora genética para resistencia está considerada como el método más efectivo y factible de control del jopo. Los híbridos comerciales de girasol cultivados en España incorporan los genes *Or1* a *Or5* que confieren resistencia a las razas A a E. Sin embargo, una nueva raza, denominada raza F, ha sido identificada. Se ha desarrollado germoplasma que incorpora genes de resistencia a la raza F derivados de girasol cultivado y silvestre. La reacción de dominancia de estos genes es una característica esencial que determina el método de mejora para producir híbridos resistentes a la

raza F. Se realizaron cruces entre líneas con distintas fuentes de resistencia a la raza F, incluyendo las derivadas de girasol cultivado y silvestre. Cruces alélicos entre líneas resistentes a la raza F, así como cruces entre líneas resistentes a la raza F y líneas resistentes a la raza E se llevaron también a cabo. Las plantas F_1 y F_2 , y sus líneas parentales, fueron evaluadas para resistencia a las razas F y E de jopo. Se observaron diferentes reacciones de dominancia y mecanismos de herencia para resistencia a jopo, que dependieron de la raza de jopo, la fuente de resistencia a la raza F, y también de la línea parental susceptible utilizada en el cruce. Se discute la relevancia de los resultados obtenidos para la mejora de girasol para resistencia a jopo.

**RELATIONS DE DOMINANCE DES GENES CONCERNANT
LA RÉSISTANCE DU TOURNESOL A L'OROBANCHE
(*Orobanche cumana* Wallr.)**

RESUME

Le parasite angiosperme orobanche (*Orobancha cumana* Wallr.) est considéré l'un des facteurs le plus limités de la production de tournesol dans beaucoup de domaines de l'Europe du Sud et de la région de la Mer Noire. Le développement de résistance est considéré le moyen le plus efficace et faisable afin de réprimer l'orobanche chez le tournesol. Les hybrides commercialisés qui se cultivent en Espagne, porteurs du gène *Or1-Or5*, transmettent la résistance des races A-E de l'orobanche. Récemment une nouvelle race de ce pathogène est identifiée, désignée comme race F. Utilisant le tournesol cultivé et sauvage, un germe plasmique des gènes résistants à la race F est créé. La réaction de dominance chez ces gènes est l'une des caractéristiques essentielles qui détermine la méthode de développement des hybrides résistants à la race F. Les croisements entre les différentes sources de lignes résistants à la race F, incluant les sources dérivées de tournesol cultivé et sauvage et de sensibles lignes différentes parentales, sont réalisés. Les croisements alléomorphes entre les lignes résistants à la race F. et celles résistants à la race E., sont effectués. La réaction vers la race F. ou race E. de l'orobanche chez les plantes des générations de F_1 et F_2 aussi bien chez leurs lignes parentales, est évaluée. Les différentes réactions dominantes et les mécanismes d'hérédité pour la résistance de l'orobanche sont examinées, dépendant de la race de l'orobanche, source résistante à la race F. et sensibilité de plantes parentales utilisées dans le croisement. Les résultats obtenus dans le développement de résistance du tournesol à l'orobanche sont considérablement discutés dans cette recherche.